Analysis of Algorithms

Data Structures and Algorithms for Computa (ISCL-BA-07) nal Linguistics III

Çağrı Çöltekin ccoltekin@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de

Winter Semester 2020/21

A few issues with this approach:
 Implementing something that does not work is not fun

work is not fun

- It is often not possible cover all potential

it is often not possible cover all potential inputs
 If your version takes 10 seconds less than a version reported 10 years ago, do you really have an improvement?

- . So far, we frequently asked: 'can we do better?' Now, we turn to the questions of - what is better?
 - how do we know an algorithm is better than the other?
- There are many properties that we may want to improve
 - robustness
 simplicity

What are we analyzing?

- In this lecture, efficiency will be our focus
 in particular time efficiency/complexity

Some functions to know about

Family	Definition
Constant	f(n) = c
Logarithmic	$f(n) = \log_n n$
Linear	f(n) = n
N log N	$f(n) = n \log n$
Quadratic	$f(n) = n^2$
Cubic	$f(n) = n^3$
Other polynomials	$f(n) = n^k$, for $k > 3$
Exponential	$f(n) = b^n$, for $b > 1$
Factorial	f(n) = n!

We will use these functions to characterize running times of algorithms

- A possible approach: Implement the algorithm
 Test with varying input
 Analyze the results

 - · A formal approach offers some help here

How to determine running time of an algorithm?



A few facts about logarithms

- . Logarithm is the inverse of exponentiation:
- $x \log_b n \iff b^x n$
- We will mostly use base-2 logarithms. For us, no-base means base-2 Additional properties
 - $\log xy = \log x + \log y$

$$\log \frac{x}{y} = \log x - \log y$$

$$\log x^{a} = a \log x$$

$$\log_{b} x = \frac{\log_{k} x}{\log_{k} b}$$

* Logarithmic functions grow (much) slower than lin



Combinations and permutations

- $n! = n \times (n-1) \times ... \times 2 \times 1$
- · Permutations:

 $P(n, k) = n \times (n - 1) \times ... \times (n - k - 1) = \frac{n!}{(n - k)!}$

· Combinations 'n choose k':

$$C(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} = \frac{P(n,k)}{P(k,k)} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)! \times k!}$$

Proof by induction ow that 1 + 2 + 3 +

 Base case, for n=1 $(1 \times 2)/2 = 1$

Assuming

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

we need to show that

$$\sum^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

 $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) - \frac{n(n+1) + 2(n+1)}{2} - \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{4}$

Some functions to know about

Polynomials

* A degree-0 polynomial is a constant * A degree-1 is linear (f(n) = n + c)* A degree-2 is quadratic $(f(n)=n^2+n+c)$

 \star We generally drop the lower order terms (soon we'll explain why)

 Sometimes it will be useful to remember that $1+2+3+...+n=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

nt function (f(n) - c)

-- O(n) --- O(n³)

Proof by induction

- * Induction is an important proof technique
- $\ast\,$ It is often used for both proving the correctness and running times of
- It works if we can enumerate the steps of an algorithm (loops, recursion) Show that base case holds
 Assume the result is correct for n, show that it also holds for n + 1

Formal analysis of algorithm running time

- - ${\ensuremath{\bullet}}$ We are focusing on characterizing running time of algorith
 - * The running time is characterized as a function of input size We are aiming for an analysis method
 - independent of hardware / software environme
 does not require implementation before analysis
 considers all inputs possible

RAM model: an example How much hardware independence? Processing unit does basic operations in constant time R_o · Characterized by random access memory (RAM) (e.g., in comparison to a Any memory cell with the address sequential memory, like a tape) R₂ can be accessed in equal (constant) We assume the system can perform some primitive operations (addition comparison) in constant time . The instructions as well as the data The data and the instructions are stored in the RAM is kept in the memory \mathbb{R}_{3}

 R_4

· The processor fetches them as needed, and executes following the instructions

. This is largely true for any computing system we use in practice

Formal analysis of running time

Primitive operations include:

- Assignment
- Arithmetic operations
- Comparing primitive data types (e.g., numbers)
- Accessing a single memory location
- Function calls, return from functions

Counting primitive operations

of shortext_distance(points):

n = len(points)
in = 0 = range(n):
for j in range(s):
 der j in range(s):
 if ni > d:
 if ni > d:
 if ni > d:
 if ni > d:

 $T(n) = 2 + (1 + 2 + 3 + ... + n - 1) \times 3 + 1$ $=3\times\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}+3$

Big-O example 10.000 8 000 6.000 4,000 2 000

Big-O, yet another example



Rules of thumb

In the big-O notation, we drop the co

 Any polynomial degree d is O(n^d)
 10n³ + 4n² + n + 100 is O(n³)

Drop any lower order terms
 2ⁿ + 10n³ is O(2ⁿ)

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bullet & Use the simplest expression: \\ & -5n+100 \ is \ O(5n), \ but \ we \ prefer \ O(n) \\ & -4n^2+n+100 \ is \ O(n^3), \end{tabular}$

sitivity: if f(n) = O(g(n)), and g(n) = O(h(n)), then f(n) = O(h(n))

• Additivity: if both f(n) and g(n) are O(h(n)) f(n) + g(n) is O(h(n))

 There may be other, specialized registers Modern processing units often also employ a 'cache'

Focus on the worst case

· Algorithms are generally faster on certain input than oth . In most cases, we are interested in the worst case analysis

in most cases, we are interested in the items the analyses

- Guaranteeing worst case is important

- It is also relatively easier: we need to identify the worst-case input

Average case analysis is also useful, but
 requires defining a distribution over possible inputs
 often more challenging

Big-O notation

. Big-O notation is used for indicating an upper bound on running time of an algorithm as a function of running time

If running time of an algorithm is O(f(n)), its running time grows proportional to f(n) as the input size n grows

• More formally, given functions f(n) and g(n), we say that f(n) is O(g(n)) if there is a constant c > 0 and integer $n_0 \geqslant 1$ such that $f(n) \le c \times q(n)$ for $n \ge n_0$

* Sometimes the notation f(n) = O(g(n)) is also used, but beware: this equal sign is not symmetric

Big-O, another example 40 30 Ê 20 10 $50 = \frac{n^2 + 3n}{2 \times n^2}$

Back to the function classes

f(n) = c $f(n) = \log_b n$ Logarithmic f(n) = nN log N Quadrat Cubic $f(n) = n \log n$ $f(n) = n^2$ $f(n) = n^3$ $f(n) = n^k$, for k > 3 $f(n) = b^n$, for b > 1 f(n) = n!

None of these functions can be expressed as a constant factor of another

Rules of thumb

7n-2 n $3n^3-2n^2+5$ n³ $3 \log n + 5 \log r$ $\log n + 2^n 2^n$ $10n^5 + 2^n 2^n$ $log 2^n$ n $2^n + 4^n$ 4^n 100 × 2ⁿ 2ⁿ n2ⁿ n2

```
Big-O: back to nearest points
                                                                                                                                Big-O examples
     def sbortest_distance(points):
    n = len(points)
    min = 0
    for i in range(n):
                                                                                                                                                                                      . What is the worst-case running time?

    2. 2 assignments
    3. 2n comparisons, n increment
    7. 1 return statement
                i in range(n):
for j in range(i):
    d = distance(points[i], points[j])
    if min > d:
        min = d
                                                                                                                                        linear_search(seq, val):
i, n = 0, len(seq)
                                                                                                                                                                                        T(n) = 3n + 3 = O(n)
                                                                                                                                          while i < n:
if seq[i] == val:

    What is the average-case running tin

    2. 2 assignments
    3. 2(n/2) comparisons, n/2 increment, 1

                                                                                                                                              return i
                       T(n) = 2 + (1 + 2 + 3 + ... + n - 1) \times 3 + 1
                                                                                                                                                rn None
                              -2 \times \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{3} + 3 = 2/3(n^2 - 3n + 2) + 3
                                                                                                                                                                                        T(n) = 3/2n + 3 = O(n)
                                                                                                                                                                                      . What about best case? O(1)
                                                                                                                                     Note: do not confuse the big-O with the worst case analysis
                                                                                                                                Why asymptotic analysis is important?
Recursive example
                                                 * Counting is not easy, but realize that T(n) = c + T(n/2)
  def rbs(a, x, L=0, R=n):
if L > R:
       if L > R:
return None
W = (L + R) // 2
if a RM = x:
return M
if a RM > x:
return rbs(a, x, L,
... N - 1)
else:
return rbs(a, x, M +
... 1, R)
                                                                                                                                         . We get a better computer, which runs 1024 times faster
                                                  . This is a recursive formula it means
                                                  T(n/2) = c + T(n/4),

T(n/4) = c + T(n/8),

    New problem size we can solve in the same time

                                                                                                                                                                    Complexity new problem size
                                                 • So T(n) = 2c + T(n/4) = 3c + T(n/8)
                                                                                                                                                                    Linear (n)
                                                 • More generally, T(n) = ic + T(n/2^t)
                                                                                                                                                                    Quadratic (n2)
                                                                                                                                                                                                m + 10
                                                                                                                                                                    Exponential (2<sup>n</sup>)
                                                 • Recursion terminates when n/2^4 = 1 or n = 2^4
                                                                                                                                                                    rates the gap between polynomial and exponential
                                                   the good news: i - \log n

    This also demonst

                                                 • T(n) = c \log n + T(1) = O(\log n)
                                                                                                                                           algorithms:

    with a exp
    problem s

                                                                                                                                                          exponential algorithm fast hardware does not help
om size for exponential algorithms does not scale with faster comput
          You do not always need to prove: for most recurrence relations, a theorem provides quick solution. (we are not going to cover it further, see Appendix)
         provides quick so
Worst case and asymptotic analysis
                                                                                                                               Big-O relatives
pros and con
                                                                                                                                        * Big-O (upper bound): f(n) is O(g(n)) if f(n) is asymptotically less than or equal to g(n)

    We typically compare algorithms based on their worst-case performance
pro it is easier, and we get a (very) strong guarantee: we know that the algorithm
won't perform worse than the bound

                                                                                                                                                                             f(n) \le co(n) for n > n_0
            con a (very) strong guarantee: in some (many?) problems, worst case examples are
                                                                                                                                         * Big-Omega (lower bound): f(n) is \Omega(g(n)) if f(n) is asymptotically greater than or equal to g(n)
                                                                                                                                                                            f(n) \geqslant cg(n) for n > n_0
         . Our analyses are based on asymptotic behavior
            pro for a 'large enough' input asymptotic analysis is correct
con constant or lower order factors are not always unimportant
— A constant factor of 100 to should probably not be ignored
                                                                                                                                        * Big-Theta (upper/lower bound): f(n) is \Theta(g(n)) if f(n) is asymptotically equal to g(n)
                                                                                                                                                                     f(n) is O(g(n)) and f(n) is \Omega(g(n))
                                                                                                                               Summary
Big-O, Big-Ω, Big-Θ: an example
                                                                                                                                         · Algorithmic analysis mainly focuses on worst-case asymptotic running times
                                                                O for c=2 and n_0=3
                                                                                                                                        . Sublinear (e.g., logarithmic), Linear and N log N algorithms are good
                  -2 \times n^2 - n^2 + 3n
                                                                          T(n) \le cq(n) for n > n_0
                                                                                                                                        · Polynomial algorithms may be acceptable in some cases
                                                                                                                                         · Exponential algorithms are bad
                                                                \Omega for c = 0 and n_0 = 3

    We will return to concepts from this lecture while studying var.

         20
                                                                          T(n) \geqslant cg(n) for n > n_0
                                                                                                                                          algorithms

    Reading for this lectures: Goodrich, Tamassia, and Goldwasser (2013.

                                                                \Theta for c = 0, n_0 = 3, c' = 0 and n'_1 = 3
                                                                                                                                          chapter 3)
                                                                       T(n)\leqslant cg(n) \text{ for } n>n_0 \quad \text{and} \quad
                                                                       T(n)\geqslant c'g(n) \text{ for } n>n_0'

    Reading: Goodrich, Tamassia, and Goldwasser (2013, chapter 12) – up to 12.7

Acknowledgments, credits, references
                                                                                                                                A(nother) view of computational complexity
                                                                                                                                P. NP. NP-come
                                                                                                                                           A major division of complexity classes according to Big-O notation is
         . Some of the slides are based on the previous year's course by Corina Dima
                                                                                                                                             P polynomial time algorithm
                                                                                                                                                  non-deterministic polynomial time algorith
                                                                                                                                         * A big question in computing is whether P=NF

    All problems in NP can be reduced in polynomial time to a problem in a subclass of NP (NP-complete)
    Solving an NP complete problem in P would mean proving

     Goodrich, Michael T., Roberto Tamassia, and Michael H. Goldwasser (2013).
          Data Structures and Algorithms in Python. John Wiley & St
9781118476734.
                                                                                                                                                                                           P - NP
                                                                                                                                     Video from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YX40hbAHx3s
Exercise
                                                                                                                                Recurrence relations
                                                                                                                                        . Given a recurrence relation
                         log n 1000
                                                                                      log 5°
                                                                                                                                                                             T(n) = \alpha T\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + O(n^d)
                          n \log(n)
                               5<sup>n</sup>
                                                                                                                                              a number of sub-problems
b reduction factor or the input
and amount of work to create and
                             log n
                                                                                    og log n
                      \log n^{1/\log n}
```

 $\int O(n^d \log(n))$ if $a = b^d$

if $a < b^d$

if $a = b^d$

 $T(n) = \begin{cases} O(n^d) \\ O(n^{\log_b a}) \end{cases}$

* The theorem is more general than most cases where $\alpha=b$ * But the theorem is not general for all recurrences: it requires equal splits

logn

 $\log 2^n/n$

log n!

log 2"

